

LOTIS-2 follow-up analysis: Updated results from a Phase 2 study of loncastuximab tesirine in relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma

BS Kahl¹, M Hamadani², PF Caimi³, C Carlo-Stella⁴, W Ai⁵, JP Alderuccio⁶, KM Ardeshtna⁷, B Hess⁸, J Radford⁹, M Solh¹⁰, A Stathis¹¹, J Feingold¹², D Ungar¹², Y Qin¹², L Wang¹³, PL Zinzani¹⁴

¹Department of Medicine, Oncology Division, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA; ²Division of Hematology and Oncology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; ³University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center/Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA; ⁴Department of Oncology and Hematology, Humanitas Clinical and Research Center – IRCCS, and Humanitas University, Rozzano, Milan, Italy; ⁵Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁶Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA; ⁷Department of Haematology, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; ⁸Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, USA; ⁹NIHR Clinical Research Facility, Christie NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; ¹⁰Blood and Marrow Transplant Program at Northside Hospital, Atlanta, GA, USA; ¹¹Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland, Bellinzona, Switzerland; ¹²Clinical Development, ADC Therapeutics America, Inc., Murray Hill, NJ, USA; ¹³Biometrics, ADC Therapeutics America, Inc., Murray Hill, NJ, USA; ¹⁴IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna Istituto di Ematologia “Seràgnoli”, and Dipartimento di Medicina Specialistica, Diagnostica e Sperimentale Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

OBJECTIVES

- Patients with relapsed/refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (R/R DLBCL) who are ineligible for, or relapse after, salvage chemotherapy/stem cell transplant have a poor prognosis and limited treatment options^{1,2}
- Loncastuximab tesirine (loncastuximab tesirine-Ipyl; Lonca) comprises a humanized anti-CD19 antibody conjugated to a potent pyrrolbenzodiazepine (PBD) dimer toxin³
- LOTIS-2 is a Phase 2 study evaluating Lonca in patients with R/R DLBCL (NCT03589469)⁴⁻⁶
- Primary efficacy and safety data have been previously published⁵ (≥6 months since patients received first dose), and patients are being followed-up; here, we present updated results (≥17 months since patients received their first dose)

METHODS

Study design

- This multicenter, open-label, single-arm Phase 2 study of Lonca enrolled adult patients (≥18 years) with pathologically defined R/R DLBCL and ≥2 prior systemic treatments
- Patients received intravenous Lonca at 150 µg/kg every 3 weeks (Q3W) for 2 cycles, then 75 µg/kg Q3W thereafter for up to 1 year
- Follow-up is Q12W for up to 3 years after the end of treatment

Endpoints

- The primary efficacy endpoint was overall response rate (ORR), assessed by central review
- Secondary efficacy endpoints included duration of response (DoR), progression-free survival (PFS), and overall survival (OS)
- Secondary safety endpoints included frequency and severity of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs)

RESULTS

Patients and treatment

- 145 patients with heavily pre-treated R/R DLBCL received at least 1 dose of Lonca; median (range) patient age was 66 years (23–94)
- At data cut-off (March 01, 2021), all patients had completed treatment

- Patients received a mean (standard deviation [SD]) of 4.6 cycles (4.3) and median (range) of 3.0 cycles (1.0–26.0) of Lonca
 - Responders (n=70) received a mean of 6.8 cycles (5.0) and median of 5.0 cycles (1.0–26.0)
 - 24 (34.3%) responders received ≥7 cycles
- Median (range) of follow-up for all patients was 7.8 months (0.3–31.0); 37 patients remain in follow-up

Safety

- Grade ≥3 TEAEs were reported in 107 (73.8%) patients (Table 1)

Table 1. Overall TEAEs (all-treated population)

TEAE	Patients, n (%) (N=145)
Patients with any TEAE	143 (98.6)
Grade ≥3 TEAE	107 (73.8)
TEAE related to Lonca ^a	118 (81.4)
TEAE leading to Lonca dose delay or reduction	75 (51.7)
TEAE leading to Lonca discontinuation	36 (24.8)
Serious TEAE	57 (39.3)
TEAE with a fatal outcome	8 (5.5)


^aRelated defined as possibly related, probably related, or related including missing relationship. Lonca, loncastuximab tesirine; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

- Most common (≥10%) Grade ≥3 TEAEs were neutropenia (38 [26.2%]), thrombocytopenia (26 [17.9%]), increased gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT; 25 [17.2%]), and anemia (15 [10.3%])
 - Most Grade ≥3 events were reflective of laboratory abnormalities rather than clinical symptoms
- The rate of febrile neutropenia was low (5 [3.4%])
- All-grade TEAEs considered likely related to the PBD warhead included edema or effusion (45 [31.0%]), skin reactions and nail disorders (63 [43.4%]), and liver enzyme abnormalities (76 [52.4%])
- Treatment-related TEAEs leading to treatment discontinuation and dose delays were reported in 27 (18.6%) and 62 (42.8%) patients, respectively; most common reason for both was increased GGT (17 [11.7%] and 26 [17.9%] patients, respectively)

Efficacy

- ORR by central review was 48.3% (70/145); complete response was 24.8% (36/145) and partial response was 23.4% (34/145)
- Median DoR for the 70 responders was 13.4 months. Median DoR for patients with a complete response was not reached and was 5.7 months for those with a partial response (Figure 1)


Figure 1. Duration of response by best overall response (all-treated population)



CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; PR, partial response.


- Median PFS was 4.9 months (Figure 2) and median OS was 9.5 months (Figure 3)
- Following Lonca treatment, 16 patients received CD19-directed chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy, with an investigator-assessed ORR of 43.8%; 11 patients proceeded to stem cell transplant as consolidation after responding to Lonca

Figure 2. Progression-free survival (all-treated population)



CI, confidence interval.


Figure 3. Overall survival (all-treated population)



CI, confidence interval.

- At data cut-off, among patients who had a complete remission, 44.4% (16/36) remained in complete response with no further treatment and 36.1% (13/36) had disease progression or death; corresponding values excluding 10 patients who were censored because of transplant were 61.5% (16/26) and 34.6% (9/26), respectively (Figure 4)

Figure 4. Swimmer plot of complete responders



Each bar represents one patient. *Only for censored patients who discontinued the trial due to reasons other than progression or who went onto a different anticancer treatment other than transplant.

CONCLUSIONS

- After longer follow-up of patients in LOTIS-2, durable responses (median 13.4 months) to Lonca continue to be observed in heavily pre-treated patients with R/R DLBCL
- No new safety concerns were reported
- Efficacy and safety continue to be monitored

Funding

This study (NCT03589469) is sponsored by ADC Therapeutics SA.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank and acknowledge the participating patients and their families, and all study co-investigators and research coordinators. The authors also thank Shui He, formerly of ADC Therapeutics SA, for his contributions to the development of the abstract. The authors received editorial/writing support in the preparation of this poster provided by Sarah Meadows of Fishawack Communications Ltd, part of Fishawack Health, funded by ADC Therapeutics SA.

Disclosures

BS Kahl reports consultancy or advisory roles for ADC Therapeutics, Genentech, and Roche; and research funding from ADC Therapeutics. **M Hamadani** reports consultancy or advisory roles for Janssen R&D, Incyte Corporation, ADC Therapeutics, Celgene Corporation, Pharmacytics, Omeros, AbGenomics, Verastem, TeneoBio, Sanofi Genzyme, and AstraZeneca; and research funding from Takeda Pharmaceutical, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, and Astellas Pharma. **PF Caimi** reports consultancy or advisory roles for ADC Therapeutics, Genentech, Kite Therapeutics, Verastem, Amgen, Bayer, Celgene, and TG Therapeutics; and research funding from ADC Therapeutics and Genentech. **C Carlo-Stella** reports consultancy or advisory roles for Boehringer Ingelheim, Sanofi, Servier, Novartis, Genenta Science srl, ADC Therapeutics, Roche, and Karyopharm Therapeutics; honoraria from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Merck Sharpe & Dohme, Janssen Oncology, and AstraZeneca; and research funding from ADC Therapeutics and Rhizen Pharmaceuticals. **W Ai** reports consultancy or advisory roles for Kymera, ADC Therapeutics, and Nurix; and research funding from Nurix. **JP Alderuccio** reports consultancy or advisory roles for Puma Biotechnology, Inovio Pharmaceuticals, Agios Pharmaceuticals, FORMA Therapeutics, Foundation Medicine (immediate family member), ADC Therapeutics (self); and other remuneration from OnLive and Oncology Information Group (paid expert testimony). **KM Ardeshtna** reports consultancy or advisory roles for ADC Therapeutics; honoraria from Celgene, Gilead, Takeda, Roche and BeiGene; and other remuneration from University College London (UCL)/UCL Hospitals Biomedical Research Unit. **B Hess** reports consultancy or advisory roles for ADC Therapeutics, Bristol-Myers Squibb and AstraZeneca. **J Radford** reports consultancy or advisory roles for Takeda, Seattle Genetics, Novartis, ADC Therapeutics, Bristol-Myers Squibb and Kite Pharmaceuticals; stock ownership for GlaxoSmithKline (spouse) and AstraZeneca; research funding from Takeda; and other remuneration from Takeda, ADC Therapeutics and Seattle Genetics (paid expert testimony). **M Solh** reports consultant or advisory roles for Amgen, Celgene; and research funding from ADC Therapeutics. **A Stathis** reports research funding from ADC Therapeutics, Bayer, Roche, Pfizer, Merck, Novartis, and MEI-Pharma; and other remuneration from AbbVie and PharmaMar. **J Feingold, D Ungar, Y Qin, and L Wang** report employment or leadership positions for ADC Therapeutics; and stock ownership for ADC Therapeutics. **PL Zinzani** reports consultancy or advisory roles for Sanofi, Verastem, Celltrion, Gilead, Janssen-Cilag, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Servier, Sandoz, Merck Sharpe & Dohme, ADC Therapeutics, Roche, EUSA Pharma, Kyowa Kirin, TG Therapeutics, and Takeda.

Contact information

Prof Pier Luigi Zinzani: pierluigizinzani@unibo.it

References

- Crump M et al. *Blood* 2017;130(16):1800–1808.
- Gisselbrecht C and Van Den Neste E. *Br J Haematol* 2018;182(5):633–643.
- Zammarchi F et al. *Blood* 2018;131(10):1094–1105.
- Caimi PF et al. *Lancet Oncol* 2021;22(6):790–800.
- Caimi PF et al. *ASH* 2020; abstract 1183.
- Caimi PF et al. *ASCO* 2021; abstract 7546.