Long-Term Survival Projections of Loncastuximab Tesirine-Treated Patients in Relapsed or **Refractory Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma**

Hamadani M,¹ Graham CN,² Liao L,³ Zhang KH,² Strat H,⁴ Ungar D,⁵ Ai W,⁶ Chen L,³ Carlo-Stella C⁷

¹ Medical College of Wisconsin, Division of Hematology and Oncology, Milwaukee, WI, United States; ² RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, NC, United States; ⁴ Formerly of RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, NC, United States; ⁵ Formerly of ADC Therapeutics America Inc., Murray Hill, NJ, United States; ⁶ University, Department of Oncology and Hematology, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Milano, Italy

CONTEXT

- Loncastuximab tesirine (loncastuximab tesirine-lpyl; Lonca) is a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved CD19-directed antibody-drug conjugate for relapsed or refractory (R/R) diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) supported by the pivotal LOTIS-2 clinical trial.
- The LOTIS-2 study (NCT03589469) was a single-arm, open-label, phase 2 study of 145 adults with R/R DLBCL after \geq 2 prior treatments. Intravenous Lonca (150 µg/kg for 2 cycles, 75 µg/kg thereafter) was given for up to 1 year or until disease relapse/progression.
- Based on the primary data cut from the LOTIS-2 trial (6 April 2020), overall response rate was 48.3% and median overall survival (OS) was 9.9 months. The OS Kaplan-Meier (KM) plot¹ displayed a survival plateau suggesting presence of long-term survivors (LTSs).

OBJECTIVE

- The objective of this study was to estimate the percentage of LTSs and expected lifetime survival (mean OS) for Lonca-treated patients via extrapolation of data collected in the LOTIS-2 trial.
- Results of an analysis based on the data cut of 1 March 2021 with a median follow-up of 1.7 years (81% follow-up completeness at median) was submitted. Following abstract acceptance, the most recent LOTIS-2 data cut (1 March 2022) with a median follow-up of 2.6 years (85% follow-up completeness at median) became available. We provide additional results using the most recent data cut.

DESIGN

Consistent with studies of other R/R DLBCL treatments identified through a literature review, survival analyses including parametric, flexible cubic spline, mixture cure, and non-mixture cure models were fit using multiple distributions. Flexible cubic splines used the hazard scale, and models were fit with 1, 2, and 3 knots. Parametric, mixture cure, and non-mixture cure models were fit using the gamma, generalized gamma, Gompertz, log-logistic, log-normal, and Weibull (accelerated failure time parametrization) distributions. A hybrid model, following the best-fit parametric/spline model to a defined timepoint and switching to general population mortality, was also constructed.
All analyses were carried out in R v4.2.0 with the packages flexsurv and flexsurvcure.
Age- and gender-matched United States life table ² hazards were used in mixture and non-mixture cure models to incorporate background mortality; they were also used in the generation of long-term survival projections to ensure modeled hazards were not less than general population at the corresponding average age.
Best-fit models were determined through fit statistics (e.g., Akaike's information criterion [AIC]), KM and fitted curve overlays, and clinic plausibility. The best-fit model from each method was selected to b considered for overall best fit.

RESULTS

- as well (Table 1).
- models was estimated to be 6.11-6.64 years.
- 2022 data cut resulted in OS projections of 6.34-6.47 years.
- (2021 and 2022, respectively).

1.00



Source: LOTIS-2 2020, 2021, and 2022 data cuts.

• The OS KM plot comparing the primary (2020) and 2 more mature data cuts (2021 and 2022) showed that a survival plateau begins to appear between 1 and 2.5 years of follow-up and remains constant between data cuts with additional follow-up, indicating a pronounced survival plateau (Figure 1).

• Overall, mixture cure, non-mixture cure, and hybrid models with a 2-year switch point were consistent in OS predictions (6.11-6.69 years) in both data cuts. Parametric and spline analyses from the 2022 data cut aligned,

• Mixture cure models with gamma distribution and non-mixture cure models with Weibull distribution fit the observed data well (lowest AICs) in both 2021 and 2022 data cuts. LTS percentage was estimated to be 24%-26% from the 2021 data cut and 29% from the 2022 data cut. Mean OS from the mixture and non-mixture cure

• Parametric and spline models did not fit the observed data well in the 2021 data cut (highest AIC). With the longer follow-up, the parametric and spline models in the 2022 data cut analyses were consistent with the mixture cure, non-mixture cure, and hybrid models of both data cuts. Parametric and spline models from the

• Due to better fit than parametric models, spline models with 2 and 3 knots were used in the hybrid model with a 2-year switch point as the base case in both data cuts. The hybrid models aligned with the mixture and non-mixture cure models in both data cuts with OS projections of 6.23-6.69 years. A sensitivity analysis of a hybrid model with a 3-year switch point in the 2022 data cut estimated similar survival (6.32 years).

• Figures 2 and 3 show the KM and statistical model overlays of the more recent data cuts

Figure 2. Overlay of Overall Survival Kapla









							Method
Kaplan-Meier	Log-normal	- Spline -	Spline: Hybrid	Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu	re	
							Paramet
							Flexible
							TIEXIDIE
							Hybrid n spline ui
							Mixture
							Non-mix
							^a AIC cannot l
3	4 5		6 7	8	9	10	
	Time (ye	ears)					
							C
Plot and Fitted Sta	atistical Models: 2	2022 Data C	ut				C
Plot and Fitted Sta	atistical Models: 2	2022 Data C	îut Calina de la trid				C TI p
Plot and Fitted Sta — Kaplan-Meier —	atistical Models: 2 — Gompertz —	2022 Data C — Spline —	ut – Spline: Hybrid –	— Mixture Cure —	— Non-mixture Cu	re	C T p a m
Plot and Fitted Sta — Kaplan-Meier —	atistical Models: 2 — Gompertz —	2022 Data C	ut – Spline: Hybrid –	— Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu	re	C Tl p al m o
Plot and Fitted Sta — Kaplan-Meier —	atistical Models: 2 — Gompertz —	2022 Data C	ut – Spline: Hybrid –	- Mixture Cure	— Non-mixture Cu	re	TI p al m o to re
Plot and Fitted Sta	atistical Models: 2 — Gompertz —	2022 Data C	ut — Spline: Hybrid —	Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu	re	TI p ai m o to re
Plot and Fitted Sta — Kaplan-Meier –	Gompertz -	2022 Data C	ut — Spline: Hybrid –	Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu	re	T p a m o to re
Plot and Fitted Sta	Gompertz	2022 Data C	ut Spline: Hybrid	Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu	re	T p a m o to re
Plot and Fitted Sta	Gompertz	2022 Data C	ut Spline: Hybrid	Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu	re	T p a m O tc re REFEREN 1. Caimi PF, / multicentr 2. Arias E V
Plot and Fitted Sta	Gompertz	2022 Data C	ut Spline: Hybrid	- Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu	re	Ti pi ai m Oi tc re REFEREN 1. Caimi PF, A multicentra 2. Arias E, Xu
Plot and Fitted Sta	Gompertz	2022 Data C	ut Spline: Hybrid	Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu	re	C Th Pa an M Ol tc re REFEREN 1. Caimi PF, A multicentr 2. Arias E, Xu
Plot and Fitted Sta	Gompertz	2022 Data C	Ut Spline: Hybrid	Mixture Cure	- Non-mixture Cu	re	Contac Laura Liao
Plot and Fitted Sta	Gompertz	2022 Data C	Ut Spline: Hybrid	Mixture Cure	- Non-mixture Cu	re	REFEREN 1. Caimi PF, A multicentr 2. Arias E, Xu CONTAC Laura Liao ADC Therap New Provid
Plot and Fitted Sta	Gompertz	2022 Data C	Spline: Hybrid	Mixture Cure	- Non-mixture Cu	re	C Ti pr ai m Oi tc re REFEREN 1. Caimi PF, A multicentri 2. Arias E, Xu CONTAC Laura Liao ADC Therap New Provide Iaura.liao@a
Plot and Fitted Sta	Gompertz	2022 Data C	Spline: Hybrid	Mixture Cure	- Non-mixture Cu	re	REFEREN 1. Caimi PF, A multicentr 2. Arias E, Xu CONTAC Laura Liao ADC Therap New Provid laura.liao@a
Plot and Fitted Sta	Gompertz	2022 Data C	Ut Spline: Hybrid	Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu		C Th pa ar m OI tC re REFEREN 1. Caimi PF, A multicentra 2. Arias E, Xu CONTAC Laura Liao, ADC Therap New Provide Iaura.liao@a
Plot and Fitted Sta	Atistical Models: 2	2022 Data C	Cut Spline: Hybrid	- Mixture Cure	Non-mixture Cu	re	C T p a r O t C r C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C



ne Survival Projections								
	Data cut	Best-fit distribution	Mean survival, years	AIC ^a				
	2021	Log-normal	1.97	238.69				
	2022	Gompertz	6.34	264.44				
olines	2021	Hazard, 2 knots	2.39	240.18				
	2022	Hazard, 3 knots	6.47	254.05				
vith 7 2	2021	Hazard, 2 knots	6.23	_				
	2022	Hazard, 3 knots	6.69	-				
	2021	Gamma	6.13	236.71				
	2022	Gamma	6.62	249.37				
re	2021	Weibull	6.11	236.80				
	2022	Weibull	6.64	249.56				

ed between analyses (i.e., data cuts), only within analyses.

CLUSIONS

erved survival plateau of the OS KM plot suggests that Lonca-treated may include LTSs with additional follow-up showing a more pronounced sistent plateau. Multiple statistical methods—including parametric, and non-mixture cure, and hybrid models—fit the trial data well and align val projections across the 2 most recent data cuts. LTSs were estimated high as 29%, and statistical models from the most recent data cut (2022) l in mean OS estimates over 6 years.

uccio JP, Ardeshna KM, Hamadani M, Hess B, et al. Loncastuximab tesirine in relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (LOTIS-2): a pel, single-arm, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2021 Jun;22(6):790-800. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00139-X. States life tables, 2018. National Vital Statistics Reports. Vol. 69, no. 12. National Center for Health Statistics: Hyattsville, MD; 2020.

AILS

United States peutics.com

Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use or and may not be reproduced without permiss from the presenter of this post Sponsored by ADC Therapeut



© 2022 American Society of Clinical Oncology, Inc. Reused with permission. This abstract was accepted for online publication only at the 2022 ASCO Annual Meeting. All rights reserved